1878-79
(R. R.)

F. No. 29 of 78
Serial No. 1707

Sub Appeal of Narsamma Kalloodi
village Gowribidanur Taluk
Regarding Shankhogi claim

20

S. F. 55797.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1875-79

~~192~~ .

(L. R.)

File No. 2978.

Serial Nos. 1 to 7

Later references.

L. R. 123796.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 7

M. ~~Part~~ B for December 1878.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Narasanna of Kaliodi, Goribidanur Taluk, regarding Shanbhog's Claim.

WD 2253—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Indexed

No 383.

Naudidroog Commissioner's Do.
343 dy 2nd November 1878

With the above the Comm.r forwards the Vernacular Proceedings connected with the case of Narasanna, Shanbog of Kollodi, Gorribidnur Taluk as called for in this office Dett No 2174/211 dy 16 October 1878.

2. The facts of the case are as follows

3. The Amildar of Gorribidnur informed the Dy Comm.r of Kolar that Veera Basave Gowda, the patel of Kollodi was dead, that on examining the Collection Chits (written in his own hand) which were found in his Daftar the sum of Rs 340.4.6 were entered as the individual Collections, that from the Treasury Accounts only Rs 312-7-5 appear to have been credited to Govt: that the difference of Rs 27.13.1 did not appear to have been credited, that the Shanbog

* No 12 dy 8 April 1878

on examination stated that he neither kept the collection Balance Accounts nor looked after that year's collections ie conjuncture with the late patel.

4. The Amildar added that it has been proved that he (the Shanbog) & the patel had conducted the collection work conjointly and that he believed that the balance of money realized had been withheld by them from the Govt. treasury as shewn below

5. In the patti marked A which was found in the patel's duftar and which is in his handwriting, out of Rs 22.9.- a shewn therein as collected a sum of Rs 8.10.6 had been entered with the contraction Sha.(ठ०) for Shanbog just below it. In the 1st Col. of the same patti a sum of Rs 5.6.6 & in the 2nd Col. Rs 10.13.6 have been entered on account of Cloths.

The Shanbog admitted that he and the patel had been to Kindapur to buy cloths and purchased them in

the Lone shop. To shew that
the sum of Rs 16. 4 - - spent
in buying cloths was Govern
-ment property the patil marked
& shewed the individual col.
lections. The Shanbog admit
ted that he received not only
Rs 82. 8 - 1 which was the
balance of the Government
Collection with the patel at
the time he was ill but also
Rs 15 - 8 - - which was Govern
-ment money lent by the patel
to the ryots and which was
returned by them. The Shanbog
has been guilty not only in
having failed to bring to the
notice of the authorities altho
he was aware of the fact of
the patel having lent Govern
-ment money to the ryots but
also in having remitted to the
treasury on the 28 March,
only Rs 80 out of Rs 98 &
odd received into his pos.
session thus keeping with
him without any reason —
whatever a sum of Rs 18. 8. 11

The Shanbog did not inform of this when questioned by the Sheristar on the 1st April 1878 but 2 days after admitted that there was some money with him and produced only Rs 16. 8. 11 but not the difference viz Rs 1- 8- 11.

From the above it would appear that he had appropriated for his own public money. The Amildar further stated that the Shanbog was fined last year for not keeping the accounts regularly, that he had no confidence in him to continue in the office and submitted for orders the papers connected with the case.

6 The Amildar concluded by saying that the Shanbog had since produced the sum of Re 1-8-11 alluded to above.

7 Among the papers sent by the Amildar there is a

deposition taken from the Shan
bog which is to the following
effect.

Q. What was the reason for your remitting to the treasury only Rs 80 out of Rs 98-4-11 which came into your possession and retaining the balance Rs 18-4-11 with you.

A. I do not know what reason to assign for this. I remitted only a portion of the money & retained some with me.

Q. In the deposition given on the 1st April 1878 before the Shek dar why did you not mention the fact of some money being with you without being credited to Government

A When I deposed before him I did not consider it necessary to state this & so I did not inform him of this.

Q. You being the Shanbog what is the reason for your not keeping the Collection Accounts

A As I & the patel were not on good terms I did not keep them.

Q. Were you not fined 1 Re last year for not keeping the Collection Accounts & warned to be careful in future

A. I did pay a fine of 1 Re on this account.

Q. Look at the patta in the handwriting of the patel marked A. There is a sum of Rs 8.10.6 entered therein with the Contraction Sha (S°). What is it about

A I see the patta. I do not know to what this amount refers to.

Q. Did you & the patel go to Hindupur to bring Cloths When was it & what did you bring

A. I do not remember the month and date. About two months back I & the patel went together to Hindupur. I brought 2 womens' Cloths 2 bodice, 2 Kerege (Garment worn by a female child) worth Rs 14-6-6. The patel brought 1 turband, 1 upper Cloth, & 1 Kerege worth 5 Rs. These articles are shewn in the patti marked B. I paid the amount of the Cloths from the sale proceeds of my ragi and from what I got from my relatives.

Q. While you and the patel are so intimate as to go together to buy Cloths what prevented you from keeping the Govt. accounts

A I was going. The patel also came. As we bought the Cloths in the same shop the details were entered in the same patti

Q. Produce the balance which remains with you

A. I will produce tomorrow.

Q. When the patel gave Gulihalle Timmiah the sum of Rs 15-8-0 were you not aware that it was Govt. ~~property~~ monty.

A. I was present at the time the money was given but I did not know then that it was Government ~~property~~ money.

I came to know from the patel that very evening that it was govt: money. I did not inform of this to the authorities. I recovered the money 2 days after it was given.

8 On the perusal of the above as well as the several papers connected with it the D.y Cons.r of Dolar stated that altho there was not sufficient evidence to try the Shanbog criminally there was sufficient evidence to dismiss him from the office and directed his dismissal

× No 20 of 14 April 1878

9. The Petitioner appealed to the Commissioner Dandi drop Do on the ground that he did not keep any money with him which he had himself collected from the ryots but returned Rs 18-4-11 for want of particulars from the patel that he has a nephew (elder brother's son) fit

25 June 1878

for employ and that in spite of his claim a distant relative has been appointed which is contrary to Chief Comm's Circular.

10 The Commissioner ~~ordered~~ ~~to~~ declined to interfere. × No 191 dy 4.7.78

11 The Shanbag now appeals to this office & states that while severely ill he was dragged before the Amildar & made to give his deposition for the Consistency of which he cannot vouch and begs that he may be restored to the Office. × Police No 1535 dy 12.9.78

12. The Ckt, I think, has been keenly dealt with, ~~in not having~~ but criminally prosecuted. There was clear misappropriation of the Govt money - The deceased Patil and the Ckt apparently colluded together & misappropriated the revenue collections & ~~attempted~~ defrauded the Govt

E. Thumboo Chetty
28/11/78.

Observations in reversion
Ckt have been prima facie evidence
on which to have the Shanbog
& criminal proceedings instituted
against him, but as a warning
to the Deputation it was not taken
The Police Deputy Superintendent
should be
Shanbog held by
29/11

1878.79

No.	1535
Name of Petitioner.	Narasamma Shanbog
Village.	Kalloodi
Taluk.	Goribidnur
District.	Kolar
Date of Arzi.	12/9/78
Date of Receipt.	12/9/78
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	One.

may be rejected for want of Stamp.
14/9
Rejected 16-9-79

L.R. 29-78-1

ABSTRACT.

This is a Special Appeal against the Nandidroog Dy. Com^r's order No. 191 dated 4th July 1878, on the following grounds.

According to the circular order, the Patel collected the Govt dues for the year Iswara; he died in the month of March; before his death, as per Sheikdari Jabreed, Peter asked the Patel for the money collected; the Patel said he had on hand Rs 66-8-11 and that the balance to be received from ryots was Rs 15-8-4; according to the Irsal patti signed by the Patel, Peter remitted to the Treasury Rs 64/4/4 and the remainder viz Rs 18/4/11 was paid to the Treasury in two remittances; the first being for Rs 16-8-11 and the second for R 1-8-4; on the report of some in the village who were inimically disposed towards him, the Amildar dragged Peter before him

when he was severely ill and took his deposition; and reported to the Distt authorities that the above amount was retained by Peta for 6 days with a view to mis- -appropriate the same; the Distt authorities without enquiry dismissed Peta from service. When Peta requested the Distt auth- -orities to restore him to his office, he was told that he should con- -gratulate himself he was not criminally prosecuted. On Peta's appeal to the D.C. Court, he was told that the D.C. Court declined to inter- -fere in the matter.

Peta begs to state that while severely ill, he was dragged before the Amildar and made to give his deposition, for the consistency of which he cannot vouch. Who will listen to his complaint that his deposition before the Amildar and the Amildar's writing are different from each other? the irregularities of the Taluk are passed over by the Distt authorities and theirs by the D.C. authorities.

Under the foregoing circumstances, Peta begs that after careful perusal of the records in his case, justice may be done to him by restoring him to his appointment.

&c.

Veerabhadrappa

Translation of the D.C. Court's Order No 191 dated 4th July 1878.

With reference to his petition complaining that he has been unjustly dismissed the service and requesting that either he be restored to his office or his nephew Narasappa be appointed to the office instead of Puttia, who is now doing duties and who is a distant relative of his, Peta is informed that the D.C. Court declines to interfere in the matter.

(Signed) J. H. Beard
Comr

№ 1535
18.9.78

1878.-79

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ಔಷಧಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಈ ಔಷಧಿಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ಲೈಂಗಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತವೆ. ಈ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಭಾಷೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿತ್ರಗಳು ಅಶ್ಲೀಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇವು ಓದುಗರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಜುಗರವನ್ನು ಉಂಟುಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಘನತೆಗೆ ಕುಂದು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವುದನ್ನು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಔಷಧಿಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟರಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಪರಿಣಾಮಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸಂಶಯಾಸ್ಪದ. ಈ ಔಷಧಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ವೈಜ್ಞಾನಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳು ನಡೆದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳೂ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಮಾತುಗಳೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಸುಳ್ಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ, ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವು ಸುಳ್ಳೇ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜ್ಞಾನವುಳ್ಳ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಓದುಗರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಈ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಔಷಧಿಗಳು ಲೈಂಗಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸಂಶಯಾಸ್ಪದವಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ, ಅವು ಲೈಂಗಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಉಂಟುಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಖಚಿತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಔಷಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವು ಲೈಂಗಿಕ ಉತ್ತೇಜಕಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇವುಗಳ ಅತಿಯಾದ ಬಳಕೆಯಿಂದ ಲೈಂಗಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಓದುಗರಿಗೆ ಅಪಾಯವನ್ನುಂಟುಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವುದನ್ನು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಆಗ್ರಹಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಕುಮಾರ್

Entered 9-10-78

1878.-79

No. 1710

Name of Petitioner. Narasamma - Shambhog

Village. Kallusi

Taluk. Goribidnur

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi 12(82)/9/78

Date of Receipt. 9/10/78

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two

L.O. 29-78-2

ABSTRACT.

Referring to this office in-
dorsement No 1535 of the 17th
ult., Petr attaches stamps to
the value of Rs 2/- to this Petn, and
inclosing herein the said in-
dorsement and the bonds in
dorsement No 1911 of 4/8/7/78, begs
to be restored to his appointment
(Stamps 8 anas. stamps)

Mr. K. B. Ramachandrayya

May the Proceedings
be sent for Examination?

11/10/78

Examined
7/11/78

Drafted

Forwarded -

1878.

From

To

The Commissioner
Nandidroog Division.

Dated
Despatched 3 16 October/78.
Received

Enclosures No.

Confdl
General No. 2174
Pr. No. 211

Forwards herewith, Petition No 1710 + from Narsamma Shanbhag of Kallidi in the Goribedanur Taluk, & requests submission of the Proceedings connected with his case.

"By order"

Secretary

+ with 2 Enclosures -

(16) 295
155

1878. (4)

From The Commissioner
Hundydroog Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

L. R. 29-78-4

Dated 2nd November
Despatched 7 "
Received 7/11/78

ENCLOSURES NO. 3 & Vernacular Proc:

General No. 343 } 1878—79.
No.

Secretary's docket No. 217/211
dated 16th October 1878.

Has the honor to forward the Vernacular Proceedings connected with the case of Narasanna, Shanbog, Kolluru, Goribidnur Talug, as called for in the above 2. The enclosures of the docket under reference are returned—

Commissioner

Rec'd Rep. in Bkms
7/11

COURT FEE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA EIGHT ANNAS

NR 1740

2

9/10/78

COURT FEE IN CRIMINAL PETITION

2

4

Read

Kandidroog Comm.r's No 343 of 2nd November 1878 forwarding the Vernacular Proceedings connected with the case of Naradanna Shanbog of Kollur, Gorbid. in Taluk.

L. R. 29-78-5

No 2706. 5-12-78
to 279

Order thereon

On a review of the proceedings in this case the Chief Comm.r considers that there was prima facie evidence on which to have tried the late Shanbog for Criminal Mis appropriation and it is to be regretted that as a warning to others this course was not taken by the Dy Comm.r of Kolar.

2 The Petitioner has justly forfeited his Shanbogi Watan & his appeal is rejected

Order the ~~proceedings~~ to be returned to the Comm.r & the Vernacular Proceedings

To, The Comm.r Kandidroog &c.

returning Ver. Proceedings

E/4

J. Secretary

E/4

(2½)

1878

(2)

To

The Commissioner of the
Nandidroog Divn

Dated 5th December 1878

Desp'd:

Encs: Prop

Duftur Ldro 2706

N: 279

Rejecting the appeal Petition from Narasanna Shanbog of Hollundi, Goribendnur Taluk and observing that there was prima facie evidence on which to have tried him for criminal mis = = appropriation.

Canarese Endorsement prepared & dispatched on 5/12/78.

D. C. 92-78

Rec'd 29-5-79

1879. 80.

No. 489.

Name of Petitioner. Narasappah. son of Shanbhoge Dassappah. of

Village. Kallundramma.

Taluk. Goribidanoor

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 25. May 79

Date of Receipt. 26. do

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 5 Five

The Petition is not Stamped.

Heavee C. C. order No 2706 of 5th Dec 1878. and Petition no 488. F 279

This Petitioner is a Kinsman of late Shanbhogue Narasannah whose right was acquired as having been forfeited in the order above quoted, and his present of appeal is his nearer relation to the dismissed Shanbhogue Steam one Puttiah who has been appointed by the Dy Com perhaps It may not be necessary to interfere with the selection made by the Dy Comm. VJ

13.6.79

The Genealogical statement produced by the Petitioner shews that he is the brother's son of the dismissed Shanbhogue.

The authenticated copy of the Gorib- -nore Amil's report no 92 dated 2nd June 1878 to the Dy Comm shews that Puttiah. now appointed. is a divised Kinsman of the dismissed Shanbhogue and a great grandson of one Hanuma -nayanah, one of the two original pro- -prietors of the Shanbhogue Hauk of the Village. The Petitioner disputes this and states that the present nomi- has no share in this Village being the Shanbhogue of another Village Mulbagal

VJ

Submitted

The Dy Comm declines to interfere

R.G.

6/12.

Dismissed

9/12

ABSTRACT.

States that the Shanbhogue of the said Kallundi village was at first in the name of his great grandfather Dassappah & on his death the Shanbhogue was transferred to the name of Appannah (who I think is the brother of his great grandfather) who held it during his life time & then got the Barawad made in the name of his son Narasannah. This Narasannah was doing the Shanbhogue duty till April 78, when a report has been made by the Amil

authorities against him, he was reminded
& his hereditary Shanbogue, in lieu
conferred upon one Non claimant Rootiah
(Huckdur Shanbogue of another village) without
Rebi's knowledge - Rebi then complained to
the Amildar & by Comm^r on several occasions
regarding his claims to the Shanbogue but without
full enquiry an Endorsement is dated 16 May 78
(herein enclosed for perusal with other papers) was
given to him - He begs to add that Besides Rebi
& his brother, third Narasappa who are the nearest
cousins to the said late Shanbogue Narasappa there
are 2 other claimants to the Shanbogue office (viz^t
Ex Shanbogue Narasappa and Dasappa (cousin
this nephew Seebiah) - The claims of these persons
have been sadly overlooked the Deputy Comm^r at the instance
of the Amildar, contrary the Chief Comm^r Circulars
nos 5 of 22 Oct 72 & column of 2/5 of 1874 —

Prays that on a full consideration of his claims to
the hereditary Shanbogue, the entire records connected with
the case may be called for examined & he may be appointed
to the said Shanbogue as the proper rightful claimant —

Mannial

Translation of Asst Deputy Comm^r order no 18 dated 16 May 78.

Rebi is informed that the Shanbogue in dispute, has been conferred
upon one Poolian, Grandson Narasimmadevar, who is the half
brother (Coparcher) to the Shanbogue but not upon a stranger - The undersigned
[redacted] The above order cannot be altered
[redacted] Shanbogue

489
18-12-89

26.5.92

5

ملک - محمد نذیر مکان نمبر ۸ - ۱ - ۱۴ محلہ - محمد یوسف

1

2

3

4

4. [illegible]

5 [illegible]

2

3

4

Rev 79-80
No. 484

(15)

(30)

L.R 27-78

ENDORSEMENT.

In reply to his Petition, ~~dated~~ No 484 of 1879/80.
Karsappah son of Dasappah, late
Shanbog of Kalasi, Kadur Taluk
is informed that
the Chief Comm^r declines to interfere
2. The Enclosures are returned,

By Order.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office,
Rev Department,
11 Dec^r 1880.

for Rev Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—27 4-80.—900.